

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-36 are pending. Claims 1-23 are now canceled. Reconsideration of the pending claims is requested.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 and 8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,875,514. Claims 1-18 are now canceled to overcome the rejection.

The Examiner has rejected claims 2-17 and 19-36 on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double patent, as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of US Patent US 6,875,514 (“the ‘514 patent). Claims 1-23 are now canceled and are no longer pending in the present application. With respect to claims 24-36 of the present application, a prima facie case of obviousness has not been made. Applicants point out that the ‘514 patent is directed to a primer coating. Contrastly, Applicants exemplify in Examples 5 and 6 beginning at page 40 and continuing to page 48 a clear coating composition. The ‘514 reference does not teach, suggest, or demonstrate a clear coating composition. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has also provisionally rejected claims 1-36 on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-47 of copending Application No. 10/804260. Again, claims 1-23 are now canceled. With respect to pending claims 24-36, Applicants note that the claims of pending application 10/804260 have not been found allowable. Therefore, allowance of the present pending claims would not afford Applicants double patenting. Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, allowance of the above-referenced application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



**CHYRREA J. SEBREE**  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS  
Registration No.: 45,348  
Telephone: (302) 992-3407  
Facsimile: (302) 992-2533

Dated: April 11, 2006